

**Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Committee  
18th June 2013**

**18 June 2013**

**Warwickshire Early Years Core Offer 2013**

**Recommendation**

1. To review progress and endorse proposals for revised Early Years offer.
2. To review progress and endorse proposals for revised delivery model for Children's Centres (Groups and Collaborations).

**1. Overview**

- 1.1 The ambitions of the Early Years Offer is to focus upon the importance of preparing children well for their entry into school. Early intervention with children aged Pre-birth -2 years across the wider early years sector fosters emotional well-being, develops speech, language and communication skills and prepares children for those cognitive skills needed to succeed academically upon transition into school.

The ambitions of the integrated Early Years offer are to track the development of children from the very earliest point in time to ensure that intervention is timely and effective.

- 1.2 Evidence from our Statistical Neighbours, The standard report and % inequality gap in achievement across all 13 assessment scales by Local Authority (Appendix 1) shows that there is a attainment gap in the Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) between the most and least deprived, which is more marked than that of our statistical neighbours. Warwickshire ranks as 7th out of the 11 neighbours. This is not good enough. Our efforts to improve must concentrate on those with the poorest outcomes. Targeted support is crucial - if everybody moves forward, those behind are still left behind.
- 1.3 The whole revised Early Years offer includes:
  - realising an agreed budget saving target for 2014 of £2.3 million (full year) by reorganising children's centres
  - ensuring that in the context of a reduced budget, the new commission of universal access to children's centres is maintained and that centres

are configured to meet the needs of the most deprived children and families

- reconfiguring core staffing resources to enhance service provision to meet the needs of the changing agenda for Early Years
- saving funding from the internal Early Years team restructure that can offset the impact to front-line services
- drawing in funding from the 2 year old offer funding into Children's Centres by commissioning an additional 300 places in Children's Centres from 2014
- drawing in funding from the ACL budget to commission Adult Learning directly by the Children's Centres where needed most
- setting a target for income generation for the Early Years team around selling services for quality improvement and training
- setting a target of income generation for each area group to be retained in and reinvested in front-line Children's Centre services
- identifying with South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust where additional Health Visiting resources (by 2015) will be placed and how they will be utilised within Children's centres
- developing a quality improvement role within areas to ensure sharing of good practice and creating capacity to improve
- identifying better links with Children's Centres and Midwifery through better strategic commissioning
- identifying better links with Job Centre Plus as a statutory partner in the delivery of Children's Centres.

The Early Years Offer ensures commitment to ongoing review within the resources available.

## **2. Introduction**

2.1 The Government has recently set out how the new Good Level of Development (GLD) measure will be defined, following the trials of the new EYFS Profile assessment.

2.2 From 2013, children will be defined as having reached a GLD at the end of the EYFS if they achieve at least the expected level in;

- the early learning goals in the prime areas of learning (personal, social and emotional development; physical development; and communication and language) and;
- the early learning goals in the specific areas of mathematics and literacy.

2.3 Children's Centres are a statutory part of the provision that local authorities must provide. There is a duty on the local authority to secure, as far as is practicably possible, sufficient provision of Children's Centres to meet the needs of local families.

It is for each authority to determine what constitutes appropriate provision, making them available on a universal basis, but particularly for families which experience significant disadvantage. Authorities are expected to keep that provision under review and to respond flexibly to changing needs.

### 3. Our Rationale

- 3.1 There is a presumption against outright closure of Children’s Centres but this is not designed to restrict local authorities nor prevent changes being made. In any reorganisation of provision the local authority needs to demonstrate that the outcomes for children, particularly the most disadvantaged, will not be adversely affected.

The proposal for this report is to reconfigure Warwickshire’s 39 Children’s Centres into a model based on 12 locality areas of groups and collaborations. The primary reason for the proposed re-organisation is to realise the agreed budget saving of £2.3million for 2014 and to utilise core staff resources and refocus service delivery to support families in greatest need.

- 3.2 We are proposing 12 areas for groups and collaborations of Children’s Centres. These areas will be fundamental in achieving a new delivery model for the Early Years Offer that will support the opportunities for children in Warwickshire to arrive at school ready to learn. A number of factors and detailed data (See Appendix 2) have been considered when deciding the groups and collaborations and we have interrogated 4 data sets (School readiness, Family economic wellbeing, Family Health and Parenting and Home Environment) to drive the best use of our resources and achieve the best outcomes for children under 5 and their families across Warwickshire, these are;

EYFS School Readiness (2012)
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)
IMD deprivation score (2010)

New children’s centre locality areas are identified in line with existing arrangements and established provision across each local area. They make sense of close geographical working and allow for the delivery of the “core purpose” across the whole locality rather than at each centre (which is presently the case). New area arrangements generate economies of scale whilst still focusing upon front-line service delivery.

Core purpose is defined as:

“To improve outcomes for young children and their families, with a particular focus on families in greatest need of support in order to reduce inequalities in: child development and school readiness; parenting aspirations, self esteem and parenting skills; and child and family health and life chances.”

- 3.3 It has been important to remember where the Children’s Centres have started from in some instances. This means that the new areas also identify Children’s Centres that will retain their current model of governance due to the nature of their delivery. There are 7 “stand alone” Centres. On the whole the 7 “stand alone” centres have high levels of deprivation and relatively high numbers of children in poverty within their footprints or highly integrated provision that could not be commissioned out. The ongoing delivery within the “stand alone” Centres acknowledges that there will be a stronger differential between high numbers of children in poverty.
- 3.4 The groups and collaboration models ensure that as long as there is some Early Childhood service delivery taking place within, the LA will not be subject to capital clawback arrangements on buildings used. If there is no Early Childhood services delivery in buildings designated as children’s centres then capital clawback is likely to apply (a business case on any proposed closed centre would need to be submitted to the department for education to determine clawback arrangements)

Area	(see maps in appendix 2)
<b>1. North Warwickshire</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>A “group” model to be created to include the existing children’s centres in:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mancetter</li> <li>- Kingsbury</li> <li>- Polesworth</li> <li>- Coleshill</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>The group will work collaboratively with Atherstone Early Years Centre which will retain its current governance.</b></li> <li>• <b>A request will be made for an exemption from tendering for this centre due to the fully integrated nature of its work with the on-site nursery school.</b></li> <li>• <b>All children centre reach areas have mid range deprivation scores according to the IMD. The area as a whole is</b></li> </ul>

	<p>highly rural.</p>
<p>2. Nuneaton 1</p> <p>3. Nuneaton 2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A "group" model to be created to include the existing children's centres in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Riversley Park</li> <li>- Abbey</li> <li>- Ladybrook</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consideration will need to be given to the ownership of the two buildings at Riversley Park and Ladybrook by South Warwickshire Foundation Trust.</li> <li>• Abbey and Riversley have a very high deprivation score according to the IMD. Scores are close together.</li> <li>• A collaboration is proposed for the three schools in Nuneaton presently governing children's centres with a view to the full core offer being achieved across the three sites: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Camp Hill</li> <li>- Stockingford</li> <li>- Park Lane</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Requests for exemption from tendering are proposed for Camp Hill and Stockingford due to their total integration with their respective schools.</li> <li>• Park Lane is identified as a site for the delivery of childcare only.</li> <li>• Area of very high deprivation according to IMD. Combined score for all reach areas are all above county and national average</li> </ul>
<p>4. Bedworth 1 (the proposal is to create two groups in the Bedworth area although there are only 4 children's centres in total). This is due to the ownership of buildings in Bedworth by differing organisations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposal for Bedworth 1 is a merger of St Michaels and Bulkington Children's Centres under the governance of St Michaels.</li> <li>• The reach areas for both centres overlap and single management across the two sites would prevent duplication.</li> <li>• The St Michael's Children's Centre is the largest in Bedworth and accommodates health colleagues.</li> <li>• St Michael's is an aided primary school and the children's centre building is</li> </ul>

<p><b>5. Bedworth 2</b></p>	<p>owned by Nicholas Chamberlaine Trust who would not permit use of their building by any provider other than the school. Therefore an exemption from tendering is required to secure the building under the governance of the primary school.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposal for Bedworth 2 is a merger of Bedworth Heath Children’s Centre and Nursery School and Rainbow Children’s Centre under the governance of Bedworth Heath Governing Body.</li> <li>• An exemption from tendering is required due to the total integration of provision across the children’s centre and nursery school and the status of the site as an Early Years Teaching Centre.</li> <li>• Close scores in deprivation. Area of high deprivation.</li> </ul>
<p><b>6. Rugby</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A group model is proposed to include the centres of : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Claremont</li> <li>- Boughton Leigh</li> <li>- Newbold</li> <li>- Oakfield</li> <li>- Hillmorton</li> <li>- Cawston, Dunchurch and Wolston</li> </ul>           (already operating as a group) </li> </ul>
<p><b>7. South West Warwickshire</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A “group” model is proposed to include the children’s centres of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alcester</li> <li>- Studley</li> <li>- Stratford</li> <li>- Clopton</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consideration may be given to the closure of the centre at Clopton which may become an outreach site, however there may be issues of clawback should this happen.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All reach areas have close IMD scores. Mid to low deprivation scores.</li> </ul>
<b>8. South Warwickshire</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A “group” model is proposed to include the children’s centres of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Badger Valley (Shipston)</li> <li>- Wellies (Wellesbourne)</li> <li>- Lighthorne Heath</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Consideration may be given to the inclusion of Southam into this group.</li> <li>• All children centre reach areas have close IMD scores, an area of Mid to low deprivation</li> </ul>
<b>9. Warwick</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A “group” or “collaboration” model is proposed to include the Warwick Nursery School and Children’s Centre and the Westgate and Newburgh Children’s Centres. If run as a group the group would run under the governance of Warwick nursery School.</li> <li>• If run as a collaboration Warwick to keep current governance but Westgate and Newburgh would have alternative governance to the nursery school.</li> <li>• An exemption from tendering is required due to the total integration of the children’s centre into the Warwick nursery school provision.</li> <li>• Reach areas show a low deprivation score. Area of low deprivation according to IMD scores.</li> </ul>
<b>10. Kenilworth</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A “collaboration” is proposed requiring the two children’s centres in Kenilworth together to jointly meet the full core offer.</li> <li>• Both will retain their own leadership and management.</li> <li>• An exemption from tendering will be required due to the integration of children’s centre services in to each of the schools.</li> <li>• Reach areas have close IMD scores. Area of very low deprivation.</li> </ul>

<p><b>11. Leamington</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>A “group” model is proposed to include the children’s centres of :</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Lillington</b></li> <li>- <b>Kingsway</b></li> <li>- <b>Whitnash</b></li> <li>- <b>Sydenham</b></li> <li>- <b>Milverton (outreach)</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Children centre reach areas have fairly close IMD scores. An area of Medium deprivation</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>12. Southam</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Southam is presently identified as a single site due to its location and rurality of reach area. Consideration may be given to its inclusion or collaboration in the South Warwickshire group.</b></li> <li>• <b>Low IMD score. Area of low deprivation.</b></li> </ul>

#### **4. The case for change**

4.1 There are many expert reports and evidence from academic research that suggest joined up interventions aimed at improving parenting attitudes and behaviours, family intervention programmes etc.; “what parents do is more important than who they are, and a home learning environment that is supportive of learning can counteract the effects of disadvantage” (Siraj-Blatchford, 2010)

Many of these interventions have effected change but are often constrained by funding, conditions forced by top down pressures from central and local government and organisational change.

We aim to develop these opportunities using a shared outcomes framework with partners by implementing a new delivery model designed to achieve;

- economies of scale – making better use of public money by ensuring services are focused around the needs of families and local communities
- shared Leadership and Management – joint investments between partners to support the effectiveness of business planning and sustainability of provision
- inclusion and outcomes for all children (Children’s Centres, Health, Early Years and Childcare PVI sector)
- shared vision and priorities - holistic offer across localities empowering parents to reduce dependency
- greater flexibility within localities aiming to target the families with most need using a suite of evidence based interventions



- the management of transitions and the effectiveness of partnership working with schools and other local providers

## **5. The Child's Journey**

5.1 A child's development and their ultimate life chances are most heavily influenced in pregnancy and the first few years of life. Ensuring a good start can lead to better outcomes throughout life: higher attainment in school and high qualification levels, with ultimately less worklessness and the benefits that brings; in terms of family health, economic well-being, parenting and home environment.

5.2 A new delivery model for the Early Years Offer;

**Stage 1 – Pre-birth Midwifery (HCP) – Health visitors – Promotional Interview guide**  
**Antenatal care (HCP) and Children's Centres**  
**Family Support Worker – early help to families**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

**Stage 2 – Birth Midwife handover to Health Visiting Services (HCP)**  
**Integrated support (Children's Centre)**  
**Family Support Worker – early help to families**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

**Stage 3 – Health Visitor home visit – statutory visits (HCP)**  
**Signpost to Children's Centre Services**  
**Family Support Worker – early help to families**  
**Early Years and Childcare setting (EYFS)**  
**Parent led decision on access to services**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

**Stage 4 – 12months Health visitor – 9 month Check**  
**Children's Centre engagement**  
**Early Years and Childcare setting (EYFS)**  
**Parent led decision on access to services**  
**Children's Centre signpost to Childcare or Childminder Agency**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

**Stage 5 – 2years 3months**

**Integrated review (HCP) Early Years settings - Speech & Language Therapist**  
**Health Visiting Community Nursery Nurses – ASQ’s (HCP) Progress Summaries – Early Years settings - (EYFS)**  
**Wellcomm Screening Tool – Community Nursery Nurses, Early Years**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

**Stage 6 (a) – 24-36months**

**2,3 & 4 year funded places – Early Years settings Children’s centres**  
**Stage 6 (b) – Traded services – PVI sector – Quality, Workforce Development, Welfare standards, advice, information, training**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

**Stage 7 – 48months – Transition**

**settings**  
**EYFSP – data tracking a child’s progress from Early Years and Children’s Centres from birth to the child’s 5<sup>th</sup> birthday**  
**School Health questionnaire handover from Health Visitor to School Nurse**  
**Interface with specialist services according to assessed need**

Local Authorities currently have a duty, under section 13 of the Childcare Act 2006, to provide information, advice and training to Early Years providers.  
*(Children & Families Bill may repeal)*

Warwickshire local authority recognises that Early Years settings, Childminders and Children’s Centres will be operating in different contexts with varying challenges, and maybe at different stages on their journey of continuous improvement.

**Long-term continuous quality improvement is the responsibility of Children’s Centres, Early Years settings and those who lead them:**

*"Quality is improved from within the organisation by searching and systematic self-evaluation...quality in the early years is never static...it involves a process of continuous self-assessment and improvement" ("Leading to Excellence" – Ofsted 2008)*

Principles of excellence demand, autonomy, partnership, respect and transparency and the local authority's vision of high aspiration for all children and their families is:

*"Every child and young person, including those who are vulnerable and disadvantaged, has the greatest opportunity to be the best they can be"*  
(Warwickshire LA Vision Statement)

It is the expectation nationally that advice will be given by local authorities to Early Years settings and Children's Centres, but the most advice will go to those in greatest need. The need now, more than ever, is to mobilise organisations to work across localities to share good practice, work with local partners thus creating capacity to do something different and provide opportunities for succession planning at a local level.

In future we will expect to see our Children's Centres and Nursery School provision leading Quality Improvement in their areas. This builds on the Teaching Schools programme that the Nursery schools consortium have been engaged in but this is not developed across every area. By building this expectation into our future commissions we should see a development of mutually reinforcing good practice emerge. It is in no ones best interest to have local provision that is not at least "good".

## 6. Conclusion

- 6.1 A new model of delivery for Children's Centres is required in order to meet savings targets whilst maintaining sufficient provision.
- 6.2 A revised Early Years offer gives us the best opportunity to integrate work better and make savings that can mitigate the impact of funding loss on front-line services.

	Name	Contact Information
Report Author	Sally Lightfoot	sallylightfoot@warwickshire.gov.uk 01926 742260
Head of Service	Sarah Callaghan	sarahcallaghan@warwickshire.gov.uk 01926 748261
Strategic Director	Wendy Fabbro	wendyfabbro@warwickshire.gov.uk 01926 742665
Portfolio Holder	Cllr Heather Timms	cllrtimms@warwickshire.gov.uk

## Appendix 1: Statistical Neighbours report 2012

The standard score and percentage inequality gap in achievement across all 13 assessment scales by Local Authority area – Year 2012 – Statistical Neighbours

Local Authority area	Standard score		Lowest 20 per cent of achievers <sup>1</sup>	achievement gap (%) <sup>3</sup>
	Median Score <sup>2</sup>	Mean Score <sup>2</sup>	Mean Score <sup>2</sup>	
<b>ENGLAND</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>88.6</b>	<b>63.6</b>	<b>30.1</b>
Cheshire East	96	93.6	72.0	25.0
Cheshire West and Chester	94	91.1	66.0	29.7
East Riding of Yorkshire	90	88.0	65.3	27.4
Leicestershire	92	89.3	66.4	27.9
Northamptonshire	90	88.1	64.4	28.4
Staffordshire	94	91.8	68.6	27.0
<b>Warwickshire</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>89.3</b>	<b>65.0</b>	<b>29.3</b>
Worcestershire	92	88.8	63.9	30.6
Essex	91	88.3	63.3	30.4
Hampshire	93	90.5	68.2	26.6
Kent	93	91.6	69.9	24.8

Source: EYFS Profile full child collection

1. The mean score for the lowest 20% of achievers. This cohort is identified by dividing the overall number of eligible children by 5 and rounding down.
2. The median score is the midpoint in a series of scores; half of the scores are above the median, and half are below the median. The mean score is the sum of scores divided by the number of children
3. The percentage gap in achievement between the lowest 20% of achieving children in a local authority (mean), and the score of the median child in the same authority expressed as a percentage of the median score (see Methodology document section 4.4, Table E).

**Achievement of at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal, Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy for each Local Authority Area – Years 2010-2012**

**Statistical Neighbours**

	2010	2011	2012
Local Authority area	percentage of children achieving a good level of development <sup>1</sup>	percentage of children achieving a good level of development <sup>1</sup>	percentage of children achieving a good level of development <sup>1</sup>
<b>ENGLAND</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>64</b>
Cheshire East	59	68	74
Cheshire West and Chester	58	56	64
East Riding of Yorkshire	55	55	58
Leicestershire	56	59	63
Northamptonshire	58	61	64
Staffordshire	64	65	68
<b>Warwickshire</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>67</b>
Worcestershire	56	61	64
Essex	50	52	60
Hampshire	54	58	64
Kent	61	65	72

Source: EYFS Profile full child collection

1. This measure defines children achieving 78 points or more across the scales and at least 6 in each of the scales associated with the Personal, Social and Emotional and Communication, Language and Literacy areas of learning.
2. The figures reported in this table used to inform the previous government's National Indicator 72 (see Methodology document).

**Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest for each Local Authority Area<sup>1</sup>**  
**Years: 2010-2012**  
**Statistical Neighbours**

<b>Local Authority Area</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>ENGLAND</b>	<b>32.7</b>	<b>31.4</b>	<b>30.1</b>
Cheshire East	31.9	26.5	25.0
Cheshire West and Chester <sup>2</sup>	31.4	31.6	29.7
East Riding of Yorkshire	29.0	28.9	27.4
Leicestershire	30.4	28.7	27.9
Northamptonshire	30.5	31.1	28.4
Staffordshire	29.4	29.0	27.0
<b>Warwickshire</b>	<b>28.3</b>	<b>28.4</b>	<b>29.3</b>
Worcestershire	31.3	31.4	30.6
Essex	32.1	31.7	30.4
Hampshire	29.7	29.0	26.6
Kent	27.6	25.5	24.8

Source: EYFS Profile full child collection

1. The percentage gap in achievement between the lowest 20 per cent of achieving children in a local authority (mean score), and the score of the median child in the same authority expressed as a percentage of the same median score.
2. Data for Cheshire West and Chester, Medway and Southwark LAs has been revised for 2010.
3. The figures reported in this table used to inform the previous government's National Indicator 92 (see Methodology document).

Percentage of children achieving a good level of development<sup>1</sup> by national deprivation status of child residency for each Local Authority area

Year: 2012

Statistical Neighbours

Local Authority area	% achieving 78 points or more across all 13 scales			% achieving a good level of development <sup>1</sup>		
	All	30% most deprived national areas <sup>3</sup>	Other Areas	All	30% most deprived national areas <sup>3</sup>	Other Areas
<b>ENGLAND</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>68</b>
Cheshire East	89	79	92	74	59	77
Cheshire West and Chester	84	72	88	64	48	70
East Riding of Yorkshire	80	70	82	58	47	60
Leicestershire	83	72	84	63	45	64
Northamptonshire	81	72	85	64	53	68
Staffordshire	86	77	89	68	56	72
Warwickshire	82	69	85	67	53	69
Worcestershire	81	68	86	64	50	68
Essex	80	71	82	60	48	62
Hampshire	85	73	86	64	49	66
Kent	87	79	90	72	63	75

Source: EYFS Profile full child collection

1. Percentage of children achieving a good level of development - those children who achieve a score of 6 or more across the seven scales and 78 points or more in total.
2. The percentage of children who achieve a score of 6 or more in a scale or combination of scales are working securely within the area of learning.
3. The percentage of children in each Local Authority who reside in the 30% most disadvantaged Super Output areas in England based on the 2010 Index of Multiple Deprivation.



## Appendix 2 - Group Profiles and Indicators

Appendix includes:

- Group Profiles
- Other Data Tables
- Explanatory Notes

Key:


Colour	Indicator
	Worse than the county average
	Worse than the national average

# North Warwickshire Profile

Children's Centres: Atherstone, Coleshill, Kingsbury, Mancetter & Polesworth

## Key Performance Indicators:

Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	66.15%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	87.06%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	-	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	100%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	16.46	15.23	21.69



## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	3010	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	12.95%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.14	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	26.42	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	2.38%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	77.50%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	5.53%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	342:11	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.18	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	40.80%	43.35 %	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	56.23%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	48.37	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	14.78%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.9%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	10.11%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	1.06%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	5.88%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	29	2 YO providers:	-	Number of providers:	7
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	949	Phase 1 2YO identified	86	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	195
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.26	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	-	Maximum number of places:	325
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£445,012.43	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	80.21%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	92.51%

## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 81.22%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 0	Good: 3	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 2

# Bedworth 1 Profile

Children's Centres: Bedworth Heath, Rainbow

Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	64.19%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	86.60%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	50.60%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	83.33%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	20.09	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	1234	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	15.97%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.17	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	29.77	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	3.02%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	74.57%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	6.57%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	151.59	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	0.17	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	36.20%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	60.00%	66.82%	

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	48.41	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	10.58%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	5.47%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	7.30%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	3.70%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	7.31%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	6	2 YO providers:	4	Number of providers:	4
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	192	Phase 1 2YO identified	83	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	156
No of 3&4 YO per place:	2.76	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£27,217.48	Maximum number of places:	168
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£76,740.30	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	50.50%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	78.33%

## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 83.96%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 1	Good: 0	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 1

# Bedworth 2 Profile

## Children's Centres: Bulkington & St Michael's Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	57.33%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	85.06%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	77.38%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	80%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	22.86	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	1309	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	13.06%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.19	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	30.62	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	3.04%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	76.32%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	6.74%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	168.38	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	0.22	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	43.23%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	77.85%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	57.35	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	16.29%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	5.85%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	8.71%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	4.32%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	6.81%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	12	2 YO providers:	10	Number of providers:	3
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	465	Phase 1 2YO identified	84	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	150
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.14	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£34,591.85	Maximum number of places:	132
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£142,075.14	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	58.56%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	99.22%

## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 83.96%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 0	Good: 2	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 0

# Nuneaton 1 Profile

Children's Centres: Abbey, Riversley & St. Nicholas

Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	60.67%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	86.88%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	43.83%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	86.67%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	20.08	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	2739	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	15.03%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.15	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	22.31	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	4.26%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	77.35%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	5.83%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	361:49	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	0.25	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	47.83%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	71.07%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	84.09	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	13.79%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.93%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	18.54%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	5.88%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	7.11%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:


3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	17	2 YO providers:	10	Number of providers:	6
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	753	Phase 1 2YO identified	162	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	265
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.45	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£36,965	Maximum number of places:	348
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£345,554.17	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	63.04%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	111.67%

## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 62.35%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 1	Good: 1	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 1

# Nuneaton 2 Profile

Children's Centres: Park Lane, Camp Hill & Stockingford  
Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	51.78%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	85.48%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	83.63%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	91.07%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	27.46	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	2636	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	22.90%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.22	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	40.30	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	4.57%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	76.06%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	6.53%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	384:17	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	0.35	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	41.43%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	47.74%	66.82%	

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	74.44	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	15.52%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	5.86%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	19.10%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	2.38%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	8.89%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	18	2 YO providers:	11	Number of providers:	6
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	468	Phase 1 2YO identified	171	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	291
No of 3&4 YO per place:	2.32	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£90,709.50	Maximum number of places:	295
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£196,455.09	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	41.15%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	80.78%


## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 90.26%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 1	Good: 0	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 2

# Rugby Profile

Children's Centres: Boughton Leigh, Cawston, Claremont, Dunchurch Hillmorton, Newbold Riverside, Oakfield & Wolston

Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	67.78%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	84.55%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	27.10%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	90.58%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	14.36	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	5960	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	11.69%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.14	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	16.51	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	2.27%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	82.09%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	3.98%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	645:44	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.28	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	45.72%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	73.87%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	49.05	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	14.48%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.79%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	16.01%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	6.61%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	6.36%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	53	2 YO providers:	11	Number of providers:	14
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	1810	Phase 1 2YO identified	214	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	453
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.35	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£30,003.28	Maximum number of places:	577
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£677,827.98	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	45.24%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	99.49%


## Children's Centres:

Indicator					
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 72.84%				
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding:	Good: 2	Satisfactory: 1	Blank: 5	

# South Warwickshire Profile

Children's Centres: Wellies, Badger Valley & Lighthorne Heath

Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	74.90%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	82.29%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	-	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	91.67%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	10.53	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	1936	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	7.55%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.09	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	8.33	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	1.03%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	81.93%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	3.15%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	184:20	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.61	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	45.14%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	75.65%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	33.28	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	13.37%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.31%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	1.40%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	1.75%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	4.47%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	20	2 YO providers:	-	Number of providers:	3
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	776	Phase 1 2YO identified	69	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	34
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.08	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	-	Maximum number of places:	39
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£298,260.63	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	66.95%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	81.77%

## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 68.54%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 0	Good: 0	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 3



# South West Warwickshire Profile

Children's Centres: Clopton, Alcester, Stratford & Studley

Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	71.40%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	62.46%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	1.01%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	85.97%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	11.16	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	3040	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	9.50%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.11	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	10.00	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	1.38%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	80.22%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	3.72%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	296.35	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.40	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	46.34%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	65.47%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	47.45	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	12.66%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	5.13%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	5.34%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	3.32%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	4.84%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	33	2 YO providers:	1	Number of providers:	4
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	1353	Phase 1 2YO identified	99	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	149
No of 3&4 YO per place:	0.95	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£494.70	Maximum number of places:	177
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£488,970.08	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	72.89%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	94.43%

## Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 75.89%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 0	Good: 1	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 3

# Southam Profile

## Children's Centres: Southam Key Performance Indicators:



Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	73.98%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	83.24%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	0%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	92.86%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	8.40	15.23	21.69

## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	860	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	6.89%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.09	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	10.49	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	1.44%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	82.56%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	3.37%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	77:11	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.66	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	48.73%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	62.98%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	40.80	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	12.99%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.51%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	2.53%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	0.98%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	5.85%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	14	2 YO providers:	-	Number of providers:	1
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	477	Phase 1 2YO identified	30	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	23
No of 3&4 YO per place:	0.75	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	-	Maximum number of places:	40
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£126,642	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	87.13%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	92.01%

## Children's Centres:


Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 73.88%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 0	Good: 1	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 0

# Kenilworth Profile

## Children's Centres: Kenilworth & St Johns

### Key Performance Indicators:

Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	78.76%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	64.58%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	17.46%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	87.50%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	6.77	15.23	21.69



### Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	1417	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	6.42%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.06	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	3.98	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	1.03%	2.4%	4.4%

### Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	81.78%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	2.25%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	121.17	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.97	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	42.34%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	60.79%	66.82%	N/A

### Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	26.74	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	7.04%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	3.84%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	1.40%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	4.17%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	4.33%	6.19%	7.09%

### Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	14	2 YO providers:	1	Number of providers:	2
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	584	Phase 1 2YO identified	63	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	107
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.08	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£8,002.50	Maximum number of places:	124
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£190,228.63	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	52.28%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	81.56%

### Children's Centres:


Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 85.38%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 0	Good: 1	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 1

# Leamington Profile

Children's Centres: Dale Street, Kingsway, Lillington, Sydenham & Whitnash

## Key Performance Indicators:

Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	64.07%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	79%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	32.45%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	97.78%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	13.65	15.23	21.69



## Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	4467	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	11.26%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.14	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	14.83	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	2.16%	2.4%	4.4%

## Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	79.71%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	3.62%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	465.11	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.28	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	39.81%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	69.86%	66.82%	N/A

## Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	61.55	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	15.59%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.49%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	6.46%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	7.62%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	6.69%	6.19%	7.09%

## Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	36	2 YO providers:	10	Number of providers:	7
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	1787	Phase 1 2YO identified	188	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	261
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£30,871.99	Maximum number of places:	288
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£520,757.12	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	49.99%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	82.82%

## Children's Centres:


Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 80.42%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 1	Good: 2	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 2

# Warwick Profile

## Children's Centres: Warwick & Westgate

### Key Performance Indicators:

Indicator	Group	County	National
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	77.75%	67%	64%
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	65.84%	80.06%	N/A
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	19.10%	38.76%	N/A
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	85.71%	90.79%	N/A
IMD deprivation score (2010)	11.96	15.23	21.69



### Family Economic Well-Being:

Indicator	Group	County	National
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	2014	30622	3267100
% claiming free school meals (2013)	10.27%	12.18%	N/A
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	0.14	0.14	0.20
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	13.23	18.46	21.69
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	1.87%	2.4%	4.4%

### Family Health:

Indicator	Group	County	National
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	78.55%	79.0%	76.3%
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	3.79%	4.5%	5.2%
Health Visitor Hours (2013/14)	198.51	N/A	N/A
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	-0.44	-0.23	0
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	43.27%	43.35%	N/A
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	73.33%	66.82%	N/A

### Parenting and Home Environment:

Indicator	Group	County	National
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	49.28	53.12	N/A
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	14.70%	13.82%	17.69%
% of school absence (2011/12)	4.34%	4.9%	5.1%
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	3.09%	8.33%	N/A
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	3.49%	4.35%	7.98%
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	5.92%	6.19%	7.09%

### Early Years Providers:

3 & 4 YO NEF providers		Funded 2 YO Providers		Maintained Nursery classes/schools (inc. SEN)	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	21	2 YO providers:	2	Number of providers:	2
Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	648	Phase 1 2YO identified	89	Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	92
No of 3&4 YO per place:	1.21	Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	£6,402	Maximum number of places:	61
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	£305,294.80	<b>Early Years Entitlement Take Up:</b>			
		% children living and taking NEF in the same area	64.02%	% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	100.94%

### Children's Centres:

Indicator				
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	April 13: 69.22%			
Ofsted Inspections	Outstanding: 2	Good: 0	Satisfactory: 0	Blank: 0

## Other Data Tables

### Provider Types by Group

Group	Childminder (Joint)	Childminder(s)	Day Nursery	Maintained Nursery	Nursery Units of Independent Schools	Other Exceptional	Pre-School	Private Nursery School	Grand Total
Bedworth 1		2	1			1	2		6
Bedworth 2		1	6	1			4		12
Leamington	2	2	20	1	2		8	1	36
North Warwickshire	1	3	11				13	1	29
Nuneaton 1		1	8		2		6		17
Nuneaton 2		3	8				7		18
Rugby		11	22				19	1	53
Southam		2	4				8		14
Warwick		3	9				8	1	21
South Warwickshire		2	7		3		7	1	20
Kenilworth		1	8		1		4		14
South West Warwickshire			21		1		9	2	33
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>273</b>

# Profile Explanatory Notes

Indicator	Notes
<b>Key Performance Indicators</b>	
EYFS School Readiness (2012)	% of pupils achieving 6+ in the seven scales of PSE and CLL and 78 points plus across all scales (state funded pupils in Warwickshire Schools)
% 2 YO Health Check Completed (2012)	% of children that had their 2 year health check completed between 01/01/12 and 31/12/12
% Phase One 2YO Offer take up of those eligible - Spring Term (2013)	% of places funded of the total identified places of the phase 1 roll out of the 2 year old offer.
% Good/Outstanding NEF Providers (2013)	% of private, voluntary and independent, Nursery Education Funded, providers that Ofsted have rated Good or Outstanding.
IMD deprivation score (2010)	The average overall deprivation score of all Lower Super Output Areas for each Children Centre Grouping as calculated in the Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) report, DCLG, 2010. The higher the score, the more deprived an area.
<b>Family Economic Well-Being</b>	
0-4 Population (2013) (National Figure 2011)	Warwickshire 0-4 population calculated from doctor registrations provided by the Public Health Intelligence Team. National population figure taken from 2011 Census.
% claiming free school meals (2013)	% of primary aged children claiming free school meals – January 2013
IDACI deprivation score (2010)	The Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) average deprivation score of all Lower Super Output Areas for each Children Centre Grouping as calculated in the Indices of Multiple Deprivation report, DCLG, 2010. The higher the score the more deprived an area. This indicator is part of the IMD report and separately assesses deprivation with specific regard to children.
Education, Skills & Training deprivation score (2010)	The Education, Skills & Training average deprivation score of all Lower Super Output Areas for each Children Centre Grouping as calculated in the Indices of Multiple Deprivation report, DCLG, 2010. The higher the score the more deprived an area. This indicator is a sub-domain of the overall IMD score and specifically relates to the levels and access of education, skills and training.
% of 16-64 claiming JSA (2013)	% of the overall working population that claim Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) as of March 2013. Figures taken from Office of National Statistics.
<b>Family Health</b>	
% of Children aged 4-5 rated as a healthy weight (2013)	% of reception children rated as a healthy weight. Taken from National Child Measurement Programme 2009-2012.
% population claiming Disability Living Allowance (2012)	% of population claiming Disability Living Allowance November 2012. Figures taken from the Office of National Statistics.
Health Visitor Hours per week(2013/14)	The number of Health Visitor hours spent in each area per week.
Health Deprivation and Disability deprivation score (2010)	The Health Deprivation and Disability average deprivation score of all Lower Super Output Areas for each Children Centre Grouping as calculated in the Indices of Multiple Deprivation report, DCLG, 2010. The higher the score the more deprived an area. This indicator is a sub-domain of the overall IMD score and accounts for health and disability in the area.
% Smoking Cessation - 4 week quit (2013)	% of smokers that have signed up for assistance for smoking cessation that have still not been smoking at the 4 week stage. Data only available at ward level.
% of mothers breastfeeding from child's birth (Q3 - 2012/13)	% of Mothers breastfeeding their child at birth, children born between 01/10/12 and 31/12/12. Data only available by ward.
<b>Parenting and Home Environment</b>	
Overall Crime incidents per 1000 residents (2012)	Number of incidents of crime in Warwickshire per 1000 residents.
% Social Rented Housing (Council and Other) (2011)	% of the overall housing stock that is socially rented accommodation (Council owned accommodation or other social accommodation). Figures taken from Census 2011.
% of school absence (2011/12)	% of school absence levels in each area.
% of Teenage mothers in area of total teenage mothers (2013)	% of all known teen mothers in the County that live in each area (2013).
% of people who have English as a second language (2011)	% of the population that do not have English as their first language, living in each area. Figures taken from the Census 2011
% of lone parent households with dependents (2011)	% of all households in each area that are classed as lone parent households with dependent children living at the same address. Figures taken from the Census 2011
<b>Early Years Providers</b>	
No. 3 & 4 YO NEF providers:	Number of 3 & 4 year old Private, Voluntary and Independent Nursery Education Providers in each area (March 2013).

*Maximum number of places available at NEF providers*:	Maximum number of overall places available at each nursery according their Ofsted Register. Maximum number of places may not refer to the number of available NEF places. The OFSTED registration for each provider states the maximum number of places a provider is allowed at any one time, but it is at the provider's discretion as to the number of places (including the number of funded places) and ratios of age groups they offer. (March 2013)
No of 3&4 YO per place:	Ratio of numbers of maximum places to children.  = $\frac{\text{Number of 3\&4 YO children}}{\text{Maximum number of places}}$  This figure gives only an indication of the number that may be available. Maximum number of overall places available at each nursery according their Ofsted Register. Maximum number of places may not refer to the number of available NEF places. The OFSTED registration for each provider states the maximum number of places a provider is allowed at any one time, but it is at the provider's discretion as to the number of places (including the number of funded places) and ratios of age groups they offer. (March 2013)
Total spring term 2013 3&4 YO NEF funding	The total amount of Nursery Education Funding providers in that area received for the hours of 3&4 year old provision they provided (March 2013)
No. of funded 2 YO providers:	The total number of funded 2 year old providers in each area (March 2013)
Phase 1 2YO identified	
Total spring term 2013 2 YO funding	The total amount of funding 2 year old providers in that area received for the hours of 2 year old provision they provided (March 2013)
Number of providers:	The number of Maintained Nursery Schools/Classes, including SEN, in each area according to January 2013 Pupil Level Annual School Census.
Number on roll (PLASC Jan 13):	The number of children recorded at Maintained Nursery Schools/Classes, including SEN, in each area according to January 2013 Pupil Level Annual School Census.
Maximum number of places:	The number of maximum places are allowed Maintained Nursery Schools/Classes, including SEN, in each area according to Ofsted register (March 2013)
% children living and taking NEF in the same area	% of children accessing NEF or 2 Year old funding between March 2012 and March 2013 who live and access their NEF or 2 year old entitlement within the group area.
% take up of early years free entitlement PVI + Maintained:	% of Warwickshire children accessing their full time equivalent (FTE) 3&4 year old NEF provision at Warwickshire providers plus the numbers recorded as attending Maintained Nursery Schools or Classes in each area according to the PLASC 2013.  = $\frac{(\text{Number of FTE NEF places} + \text{Maintained Nursery Places})}{\text{Population of 3\&4 YO in each area}} \times 100$  (January 2013)
<b>Children's Centres</b>	
% of 0-5 registered at Children Centre	% of all children aged 0-4 registered at the Children's Centres in each area. (April 2013)
Ofsted Inspections	Numbers of Children's Centres achieving Satisfactory, Good or Outstanding Ofsted results in each group area. Blanks are where a children centre has yet to be inspected. (March 2013)
<b>Data Tables</b>	
Provider types by group	The numbers of different types of providers in each group area. (March 2013)